# F. No. 1-A/NMA/NOC-2014 Government of India Ministry of Culture National Monuments Authority

The approved minutes of 141<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 are hereby forwarded for perusal and comments, if any, which may please be forwarded by Friday evening, i.e. 7<sup>th</sup> October, 2016.

(Navneet Soni) Member Secretary 04.10.2016

# Government of India Ministry of Culture National Monuments Authority 24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

## MINUTES OF THE 141st MEETING OF NMA

Venue

- Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,

New Delhi 110001

Time & Date

- 10.30 A.M on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2016

\*\*\*\*

The meeting was attended by the following:

- 1. Ms. Susmita Pande, Chairperson, NMA.
- 2. Sh. A.B. Shukla, Whole Time Member, NMA.
- 3. Sh. U.K. Sadhav, Whole Time Member, NMA.
- 4. Sh. Satish Kumar, Whole Time Member, NMA
- 5. Ms. Shalini Mahajan, Part Time Member, NMA.
- 6. Sh. Bharat Bhushan, Part Time Member, NMA.
- 7. Sh. Pukhraj Maroo, Part Time Member, NMA.
- 8. Sh. Navneet Soni, Member Secretary

## Agenda No. 1

The minutes of 140<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> & 9<sup>th</sup> August, 2016 were confirmed.

#### Agenda No. 2

Member Secretary briefly mentioned the need to outline the procedure for conducting the meetings of National Monuments Authority (NMA) and follow Rule 15 and Rule 16 of NMA Rules strictly. In case of difference of opinion on any agenda item, the issue may be raised in the meeting itself so that appropriate decisions can be taken and recorded in the minutes.

### Agenda No. 3

The status of 15 Heritage Bye-Laws submitted to National Monuments Authority by INTACH, Competent Authority, Maharashtra and Aga Khan Trust for Culture were reviewed. It was noted that there are several deficiencies in the Bye-Laws as those are not strictly in accordance with Schedule I and II of AMASR Rules. Some of the parameters prescribed therein have not been met. Under these circumstances, the following decisions were taken:

Whin.

National Monuments Authority

Page 1

- (i) A meeting will be convened wherein INTACH, Aga Khan Trust for Culture, Competent Authorities and Regional Directors and concerned officials of ASI will be invited.
- (ii) Archaeological Survey of India (ASI) will be requested to provide complete information required in Schedule I in respect of the Monuments covered under the 15 Heritage Bye-Laws under consideration on top priority.
- (iii) INTACH, Aga Khan Trust for Culture and Competent Authority, Maharashtra, will be requested to update the Draft Heritage Bye-Laws in the new template approved by NMA in its meeting held on 18/5/16.
- (iv) The above task is to be completed within a timeframe on top priority basis so that these Bye-Laws can be laid in both houses of Parliament after approval of NMA.

### Agenda No. 4

Member Secretary, NMA briefed the Authority about the developments in regard to integration of NMA portal with local bodies of Delhi and Mumbai. He also mentioned that in a meeting held on 07.07.2016 chaired by Addl. Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, it has been further decided to integrate the Online Application Portal of NMA for construction permits with other 3 cities viz Jaipur, Mumbai and Chennai, which have been identified as Priority Cities. In addition, 53 million plus cities have also been directed to initiate Online Processing System. For the purpose of integration of Online NOC Portal of NMA with the local bodies of these cities, the data structure of NMA Portal has been sent to all concerned urban local bodies of the cities and states shortlisted for faster integration with the NOAPS of NMA.

Member Secretary outlined the need to prioritize the monuments in these cities for the purpose of preparation of Heritage Bye-Laws.

#### Agenda No. 5

#### Consideration of NOC applications

#### **Review Case:**

#### Case No. 1

(Mr. Vincent Joseph and Ivy, Joseph Cottage, Vakkilazhkon, Purayidom, Cutchery.P.O., Vaddy-kollam-691013, Kerala)

After perusal of the application and the representation submitted by the applicant, it was decided to ask the C.A. to clarify the area of the proposed site which the applicant earlier applied for, revised area for which the applicant now applied and the actual area of the proposed building which the applicant constructed vis-à-vis NMA approval.

Mon

#### **Deferred Cases:**

### Case No. 1

(Shri Subhash Chander, C-46, Veer Nagar (Displaced Jain CHBS Ltd.), Delhi)

After perusal of the application and clarifications received, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on Ground Floor & First Floor and construction of Second Floor & Third Floor of residential building with the total height of building restricted to 14.83 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) at C-46, Veer Nagar (Displaced Jain CHBS Ltd.), Delhi; with total floor area of G.F.=175.584 sqm., F.F.=174.535 sqm., S.F.=162.375 sqm. & T.F.=70.263 sqm. Applicant has an existing basement.

### Case No. 2

(Shri Sudhir Srivastava, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application and clarifications received, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advice of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the Ground Floor construction work has already been completed.

## Case No. 3

(Mrs. Bushra & Abdu Rahiman, Erakkingal House, Muruthur, P.O., Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application and revised building plan received, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement + GF + 1 Floor of commercial building with the total height of 10 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) at Sy. No.: 5/4 at Pattambi Panchayath; with plinth area of Basement=G.F.=226.39 sqm. & F.F.=256.63 sqm.

#### Case No. 4

(Mr. P.P. Sajith & T. Abdul Razak, Pathiripalliyalil House, Kezhumri, P.O., Palassery, Palakkad-679307, Kerala)

After perusal of the application and revised building plan received, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors of commercial building with the total height of 10 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) at Sy. No.: 66/6 at Pattambi Municipality; with plinth area of G.F.=212.53 sqm. & F.F.=S.F.=234.12 sqm.

### Case No. 5

(Chintala Venkateswarlu, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application and clarifications received, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for repair and renovation of existing building and construction of second floor over existing GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of building restricted to 10.80 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) at S. No.: 503/4, D. No. 15-2-49, W. No.-19, Sharaff Bazar, Bapatlam.Pl.; with proposed area of S.F.=30.63 sqm.

Mehin.

(V. Balagangadhara Tilak, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application and clarifications received, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of commercial building with the total height of 7.16 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) at D. No. 10-2-22, S. No.: 503/3, W. No.-18, G.B.C. Road, Bapatla; with proposed area of G.F.=F.F.=51.38 sqm.

#### Case No. 7

(The President of Victoria Jubilee Hospital Trust, C/o. Design Cell Architects, 1002, Satkar Building, Nr. Lal Bungalows, Ellis bridge, C.G.Road, Ahmedabad- 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application and clarifications received, it was decided to **defer** the case till further inputs from the members on the Impact Assessment Report.

#### Fresh Cases:

### Case No. 1

(Smt. Saumitra Chakravarty, 42, Amrita Shergil Marg, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of road and Swimming pool within the premises of 42, Amrita Shergil Marg, New Delhi; with the area = 7500 mmX17000 mm=127.5 sqm. & Depth:1250 mm=1.25 mtrs.

#### Case No. 2

(Smt. Harsh Prabha, Flat No. 464, Pocket-A, Sector-D, 3rd Floor, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on third floor and construction of barsati on terrace with the total height of building restricted to 14.59 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with additional area on TF=39.73 sqm. and barsati=19.50 sqm.

### Case No. 3

(Executive Engineer, CED-I (MoEF & CC), National Museum of Natural History, Opp. Of Pragati Maidan at Bhairon Marg behind Purana Qila, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case and ask the applicant to submit Heritage Impact Assessment prepared by INTACH associating an Archaeologist. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Elleri.

(Shri Ravi Khanna and Dr. Swarsha Khanna, B-1/40, Safdarjung Enclave, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, waterstorage tank etc.) at B-1/40, Safdarjung Enclave, Delhi; with floor area of Stilt=GF=213.68 sqm. & FF=SF=TF= 211.43 sqm.

### Case No. 5

(M/s Rama Krishna Sarada Mission School through its Assistant Pr. Ananta Prana, Rama Krishna Sarada Mission School, C-8A, Hauz Khas, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case and ask the applicant to submit the information on the parameters laid down in the Schedule II format. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

### Case No. 6

(Smt. Kusum Bhatia W/o Shri Ramesh Chand Bhatia, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for conservation/repair of residential building of Ground+1 Floor at Mokati Para, House No. 2630, 2631 (old) 168A, 168B (New), Jaisalmer. There should be no new construction and no vertical and horizontal addition to the existing structures.

## Case No. 7

(Shri Sushil Kumar Pal, Varanasi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground+1 floor with the total height of 9.59 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Aaraji No. 131, Mauja-Ganj, Pargana-Shivpur, Sarnath, Varanasi; with floor area of GF=FF=110.88 sqm.

## Case No. 8

(Shri Vijay Kumar Gupta, Varanasi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for repair of residential building of Ground+1 Floor at Aaraji No. 318, Mauja-Dhalgar, Pargana-Haveli, Tehsil and Zila – Jonpur. There should be no new construction and no vertical and horizontal addition to the existing structures.

Merni.

(Smt. Manishaben Sanjaybhai Trivedi, B/s. Danilimda Muster Station, Opp. Vaikunthdham Mandir, Danilimda, Ahmedabad- 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work has already been started.

### Case No. 10

(Mr. K.B. Aravindan Panicker, Kalarikkal House, Kadavallur, P.O., Thrissur-680543, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor of Residential Building with the total height of 4.05 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Sy. No. 612, Kadavalloor, Thrissur, Kerala; with plinth area of GF=64.15 sqm.

## Case No. 11

(Sri Jayakumar N, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 Floors of Shree Rama Mandira with the total height of 9.43 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Assessment No. 1532/1483, Kurubageri, near Kanikaparameshwari Temple, Nanjangudu, Mysore District-571301; with floor area of GF=FF=SF=74.08 sqm.

#### Case No. 12

(Smt Manju W/o S. Vinod Kumar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+1 Floor of residential cum commercial building with the total height of 7.3 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Assessment No. 1682/1629, Bazar Road, Nanjangudu, Mysore District; with floor area of GF=FF=109.06 sqm.

#### Case No. 13

(Smt. Renuka K Balikai, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Ground Floor of residential building with the total height of 4.97 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at C.T.S No. 2996A, Purad Oni, Haveri Taluk & District; with floor area of GF=64.62 sqm.

Alleni.

(Sri J. Shivanna, Karnataka) Distance – 195 mtrs.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 7.65 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Katha No. S-3531/113/114/32, Ranganatha Nagara, Srirangapatna; with floor area of GF=64.74 sqm. & FF=69.18 sqm.

#### Case No. 15

(Sri J. Shivanna, Karnataka) Distance – 187 mtrs.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 7.65 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Katha No. S-3511/113/12, Ranganatha Nagara, Srirangapatna; with floor area of GF=64.74 sqm. & FF=69.18 sqm.

#### Case No. 16

(Smt N Rukmini, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work upto Ground Floor is already completed.

#### Case No. 17

(Smt Jayapadma S Jois, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work is in progress.

#### Case No. 18

(Smt Manjula W/o Raju, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 Floors of residential building with the total height of 9.75 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Assessment No. 327/315, Vokkalageri, Nanjangudu Town, Mysore District; with floor area of GF=FF=354 Sqft (32.89 sqm) and SF=217 Sqft (20.16 sqm).

#### Case No. 19

(Sri K. Sridhar Hegde, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 9.15 mtrs. (including

Mon

mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at R.S. No. 465/2E1 of Karkala Kasaba Village, Karkala Taluk, Udupi District; with floor area of GF=112.45 sqm. & FF=96.93 sqm.

### Case No. 20

(Smt. P.N.Padmavathi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor of commercial building with the total height of 3.20 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Survey No. 2/9 P11, Hosali Village, Udupi District; with floor area of GF=13.94 sqm.

### Case No. 21

(Sri B. Manu Kumar, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 Floors of residential building with the total height of 10.55 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Khata No. S-522/489, Kumbarageri, Srirnagapatna Town, Manda District; with floor area of GF=FF=SF=33.50 sqm.

### Case No. 22

(Sri Basavaraj Channaveerappa Jogur, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Ground Floor of residential building with the total height of 3.75 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at CTS No. 2899B at Purad Oni, Haveri; with floor area of GF=33.68 sqm.

### Case No. 23

(Sri Bhaskar Govind Joshi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Ground Floor of residential building with the total height of 4.32 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at CTS No. 2522, Padaki Oni, Saundatti Taluk, Belgaum District; with floor area of GF=43.57 sqm.

## Case No. 24

(Smt. Jayamma D/o Late Parmeshwaraiah, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 7.60 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Ward No. 5/A, Assessment No. 1104/1067, Northern side of R.P. Road, Nanjangudu, Mysore District; with floor area of GF=FF=32.41 sqm.

Man.

(Sri M.N Ravinarayan& Smt. Gayathiri Ravinarayan, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+2 Floors of residential building with the total height of 12.27 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Site No. 316, Melkukote, Mandya District; with floor area of GF=143.63 sqm., FF=157.15 sqm. & SF=67.82 sqm.

### Case No. 26

(Sri James Gonsalves, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of 5 houses each of Type-1 (A) & Type-1 (B) of residential building with the total height of 7.57 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Survey No. 8/4P2, Hosala Village, Udupi Taluk & District; with floor area of GF=FF=72.05 sqm. of each house.

### Case No. 27

Sri Vijaykumar F Gaddi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Canopy, Garden Area, Parking Area and Ground Floor of Petrol Pump with the total height of 3.75 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at CTS No. 3835/2A/2A & 3835/2A/2B, Gadag District; with floor area of Petrol Pump=44.24 sqm., Canopy=256.80 sqm., Garden Area=74.92 sqm. & Parking Area=13.50 sqm.

## Case No. 28

(Sri Shailesh Subray Naik, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work is already completed.

### Case No. 29

(Sri. G.J. Padmanabhaiah, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work is already completed.

Mai .

(Sri Ramesh Nagappa Desa, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work is already completed.

#### Case No. 31

(Head Agricultural Research Station, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case till the advise of Ministry of Law is received in respect of Regularization charges, as the construction work is already completed.

## Case No. 32

(Sri Shanmukhappa, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 7.80 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Khatha No. S-3544/113/114/45, Ranganatha Nagara, Srirangapatna, Mandya District; with floor area of GF=FF=63.97 sqm.

### Case No. 33

(Sri P.S Nagaraj Prasad, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 8.50 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Khatha No. S-1405/1342, Poornaiah Street, Srirangapatna, Mandya District; with floor area of GF= 32.24 sqm. and FF=46.34 Sqm

### Case No. 34

(Smt. Kanthamani W/o N. Hanumantha, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 Floors of commercial building with the total height of 10.13 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Asst. No. 1442/1396, Ward No. 6/A, Gowrighatta Street, Nanjangud; with floor area of GF=FF=140.72 sqm. & SF=83.78 sqm.

### Case No. 35

(Smt. S. Saraswathi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+1 Floor of residential cum commercial building with the total height of 7.70

Mon

mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Asst. No. 17725/1672, Kayangadi Beedi, Nanjangud, Mysore District; with floor area of GF=FF=32.43 sqm.

### Case No. 36

(Shri Narayan S/o Timma Naik, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 7.20 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Sy. No. 187/1, Muttali, Bhatkal, Uttar Kannada District; with floor area of GF=100.18 sqm. & FF=63.01 sqm. The permission is subject to condition that land use is residential. Therefore, C.A. should verify the land use before issuing NOC.

### Case No. 37

(Sri Jagan Kumar G., Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 Floor of residential building with the total height of 7.61 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at TS No. 83/1A17 & 83/2B4, W. No. 22 & B. No. 10, Beside Ram Nagar, Fort Ring Road, Bellary; with floor area of GF=FF=77.02 sqm.

### Additional Agenda

MS, NMA informed the members that there were two cases of Punjab (Case No. 15 & Case No. 22) which were recommended in the 140<sup>th</sup> meeting. While approving the building plans, it was observed that there were mismatches in the locality of the proposed construction as mentioned in Form II with the details as mentioned in the provided Building Plan. Therefore, it was decided that we may hold the recommendations of these two cases of Punjab till the time the required clarifications are received from C.A., Punjab.

